UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,316	07/18/2003	Cheng Shu Chaw	6565-66400	4339	
	7590 01/24/2008 SPARKMAN LLP		EXAMINER		
	KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP 121 SW SALMON STREET			ROGERS, JAMES WILLIAM	
SUITE 1600 PORTLAND, (⊃R 07204		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
TOKTLAND,	JR 97204		1618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/622,316	CHAW ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James W. Rogers, Ph.D.	1618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 03 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forthater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Clust be filed within one in the final rejection, who date of the final rejecti	oce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8 and 10-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9 and 20-29.		II be entered and an e	explanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S on of the status of the claims after e	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ntry is below or attacl	ils to provide a 1). ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants proposed amendment to the claims would necessitate a new search and/or further consideration because previously the limitation within independent claims 1 and 15 that "the initial burst or sustained release of the carbamate is dampened or slowed, respectively, relative to a microparticle comprising said first biodegradable polymer and not said second biodegradable polymer" was not present within the claims previously examined. Thus this new limitation was not searched and/or considered previously by the examiner.

MICHAEL G. HARTLEY SUPERVICORY PATENT EXAMINER